

-----Original Message-----

From: Martha Durham [mailto:marthadurham512@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 11:35 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

-----Original Message-----

From: R Jerry Martin [mailto:jerry2413@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 11:40 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

-----Original Message-----

From: James Murphy [mailto:murphelec@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 12:24 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

Mr. Delosa

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Jim Murphy

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Crivelli [mailto:petercrivelli@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 12:51 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

--

Peter Crivelli

Cell: 302-290-1404

-----Original Message-----

From: emobrion@aol.com [mailto:emobrion@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 1:06 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

I can't afford the constant increased cost of basic living.

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe Radcliffe [mailto:jrconcours@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 1:39 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

With regards to the proposed regulation on how to calculate the cost impact on electric bills of Delaware's requirement that our electric suppliers purchase more expensive and unreliable wind and solar power every year.

I'll support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Regards,

Joe Radcliffe

Ocean View

302-541-4953

-----Original Message-----

From: Anne M [mailto:ireland_3@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 2:06 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

The PSC is considering a regulation on how to calculate the cost impact on electric bills of Delaware's requirement that our electric suppliers purchase more expensive and unreliable wind and solar power every year. The legislature figured there was value in using renewable power, but it didn't want to overburden ratepayers, many who are living paycheck to paycheck. Thus, it wrote a cap into the law that said if electric bills went up by more than 3 percent there would be a freeze on buying more renewable energy until the cost came down.

Well, that cap was exceeded in 2013. Renewables now add about 9% to electric bills, or \$100 a year to residential bills, but there is no freeze! Some industrial customers are paying a job killing half million to a million dollars a year. The problem is the PSC is not including the cost of the Bloom fuel cell project in the proposed regulation to avoid a freeze and that is a big part of the cost. Fuel cell costs should be included in the cost cap calculation.

We the American People and Delaware in particular are sick of the tricks played to rip more money out of our pockets. When will it every be enough for you?

REGULATE IN HONESTY AND COMPLETE AND TOTAL INCLUSIONS OF ALL SOURCES.

Sincerely,
Mrs. CA Scofield

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Catholic_Devotion_and_Prayers/

When I say "Hail Mary",
the heavens bow down,
the angels rejoice;
the earth jubilates,
hell trembles;
and the devils take flight!
St. Francis of Assisi

+
Michael, Michael of the morning,
Fresh chord of Heaven adorning,
Keep me safe today,
And in time of temptation
Drive the devil away.
Amen.

Lewes, Delaware

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Parker [mailto:rhprkr@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 2:50 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: shauna thompson [mailto:thom500@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 3:26 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Shauna Thompson

-----Original Message-----

From: Chuck Mulholland [mailto:cemulholland@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 4:01 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Charles Mulholland
706 Vance Neck Rd
Middletown, DE 19709-9592

-----Original Message-----

From: John Pancoast [mailto:jpancoast77@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2017 7:39 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy Haney [mailto:randy@bluemoonrehaboth.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 1:01 AM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Mr. Delosa:

I support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Sincerely,
Randy Haney
32600 Durham Ct.
Lewes, DE 19958
(302) 644-2053

-----Original Message-----

From: LGiord3090@aol.com [mailto:LGiord3090@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 11:35 AM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Lawrence Giordano

-----Original Message-----

From: Rebecca [mailto:remanelski@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 2:04 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Rebecca E. Manelski

Power, love and a sound mind.
2 Timothy 1:7

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Lyons-Hoofprints [mailto:mary@hoofprintsinc.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 5:26 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

As a small business owner in Delaware that is struggling, I support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Thank you for consideration.

--

Mary Lyons

Hoofprints, Inc.

302-757-3599 voice or text

-----Original Message-----

From: Marisol Ocasio [mailto:christianacpas@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 8:10 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

-----Original Message-----

From: Carl Boxcaja [mailto:boxcaja@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 3:49 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No.56

Dear Mr. DeLosa

As a senior citizen currently paying between five and six percent of my electric bill toward the Renewable Compliance charge, I am in support of the proposed regulation but want you to ensure that it contains clarification that the net costs of the Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

A. Boxhill

Lewes, DE

-----Original Message-----

From: John E Greer Jr [mailto:jegreerjr@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 7:13 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

John E. Greer, Jr.
2892 Kennedy Rd
Wilmington DE 19810
302-479-0485

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve Larrimore [mailto:Steve@SteveLarrimore.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 12:18 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Mr. Delosa,

Personally, I would prefer to see all of these fees removed from my bill but I understand that would require legislation which is beyond your purview.

That being said, all of these extra charges should be calculated towards the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

This money is nothing but crony capitalism going into the pockets of out of state companies that bilked the people of Delaware. In the case of Bloom, they have not complied with their agreement with the state and that charge should be removed from the bills of all Delawareans immediately.

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Thank you for consideration.

Stephen Larrimore

-----Original Message-----

Steve Larrimore, Realtor
Berkshire Hathaway HomeServices Fox & Roach Realtors
88 Lantana Drive, Hockessin, DE 19707
Cell 302-559-9013 Fax 302-999-0578 Office 302-999-9999 x6959

-----Original Message-----

From: PraiseGod13 [mailto:PraiseGod13@comcast.net]

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:08 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56 - COMMENTS

Importance: High

Dear PSC:

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation

WE URGE PSC TO ENSURE THAT THE ANNUAL TOTAL DOLLAR COSTS TO DELAWARE TAXPAYERS TO SUPPORT RENEWAL ENERGY IN DELAWARE HAVE AN ANNUAL CAP THAT IS MADE PUBLIC TO ALL USERS OF ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE IN DELAWARE.

Mr. and Mrs. Robert E. Fischer, 31824 Carneros Avenue, Lewes, DE 19958

--

-----Original Message-----

From: Larry Koppenhaver [mailto:haverpen@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:11 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

To the PSC: The test is to pay for what you get - and to get what you pay for. Do the math. We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nicole Theis [mailto:ntheis@delawarefamilies.org]

Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2017 3:38 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

Please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Nicole Theis

-----Original Message-----

From: Melodie Spotts [mailto:melodie.a.spotts@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 11:38 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

Please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Melodie Spotts
48 Rawlings Drive
Bear, DE 19701

-----Original Message-----

From: Don Taber [mailto:BrandywineComp@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 3:41 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Cc: Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Delmarva Power charges for "green" energy sources

Joe: It's my understanding that there will be a hearing tomorrow night (4/6) regarding the "green energy" charges to our already high electric bills. You're likely to get a LOT of emails on this subject before then with less than no time to digest all of them, so I'll be as brief as I can on this complex issue.

I am NOT a believer that the planet's current warm period is caused by Man's use of fossil fuels. If you look at Earth's temperature ups and downs for MILLIONS of years (not just the VERY short time Man, and Al Gore, have been around), you see this:

5 million years of cooling

The last five million years of climate change is shown in the next graph based on work by Lisiecki and Raymo in 2005 [2] . It shows our planet has a dynamic temperature history, and over the last three million years, we have had a continuous series of ice ages (now about 90,000 years each) and interglacial warm periods (about 10,000 years each). There are 13 (count 'em) ice ages on a 100,000 year cycle (from 1.25 million years ago to the present, and 33 ice ages on a 41,000 year cycle (between 2.6 million and 1.25 million years ago). Since Earth is on a multi-million-year cooling trend, we are currently lucky to be living during an interglacial warm period, but we are at the end of our normal 10,000 year warm interglacial period.

Note that over time – time in the UNIVERSE sense, not the few seconds Man has existed – the planet's temperature variations from low to high have become more dramatic. This is NOT. . . NOT because of Man, because it goes back 3+MM years. Do we know why we've had so many ice ages and warm periods? No! The Sun throws tantrums every 11 years that sometimes warm the planets orbiting around it, but beyond that, it comes down to the infamous bumper sticker: Sh** Happens!, and we don't have a clue why.

Given this, you can understand that I DO NOT SUPPORT CHARGING ANYBODY ANYTHING TO SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE ENERGY. Alternative energy sources WILL eventually become necessary when the planet runs out of cheaper sources of fuel. When that happens, FREE ENTERPRISE will come up with the answers – unsubsidized!!!

Don Taber

2 Kimbrough Court

Wilmington, DE

(302) 475-8526

From: Peggy Gerber [mailto:peggygerber007@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:39 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Green energy costs

It's about time that you start including the Bloom Energy costs toward the green energy max which is now about 13% versus the 3% max allowed Just another way for Delmarva to increase our bills. Sincerely John Gerber

-----Original Message-----

From: Craig, Eleanor D [mailto:craige@udel.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:44 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Fuel Cells

The Bloom charges should be included in the energy cost calculations. Thank you

-----Original Message-----

From: Bush, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer.bush@chase.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:47 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Please do not include Bloom Energy funds in the calculation for the green energy surcharge

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

Delaware used to be a wonderful place to live. It still is in many ways. But it is getting harder and harder to live here because the price of everything keeps going up.

The cards are stacked against the people. They have no say. Schools can choose to raise school taxes and, yes people are allowed to vote on that – but here's the rub (actually two rubs) – people who DO NOT OWN PROPERTY are allowed to vote on increasing property/school taxes, and if the proposal is voted down, the school is allowed to bring it up again almost immediately. That is designed to take the voice away from the people.

Now Delmarva might be allowed to include Bloom Energy in the calculation of “extra billing” – so the 3% max surcharge will go to 13%.

It's not fair. A lot of people have already given up on the idea of ever being able to retire, to take vacations, to help their children get set up in their own lives, etc. If Delaware continues to raise prices on everything, then this wonderful state is no longer going to be a nice place to live.

Thank you.

Jennifer Bush | 107 Gambel Court, Bear, DE 19701 | M: 917-301-9318 | jddbush11@verizon.net

-----Original Message-----

From: Suzanne Haschak [mailto:suzannech@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:48 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy

We should not include the BLOOM costs to our energy bill. Bloom already cost us big bucks for nothing in their sweetheart deal. We are strapped trying to keep our heads above water ad it is.

-----Original Message-----

From: Suzanne Haschak [mailto:suzannech@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:48 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy

We should not include the BLOOM costs to our energy bill. Bloom already cost us big bucks for nothing in their sweetheart deal. We are strapped trying to keep our heads above water ad it is.

-----Original Message-----

From: SergBald [mailto:sergeyb@udel.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:54 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Public Hearing on Power Bill Calculations

Dear Joseph,

fuel cells is not a "green energy" at all. It has a better efficiency in converting hydrogen into electricity than Internal Combustion engine.

But there is no free and renewable hydrogen on earth for now at least.

Hydrogen and fuel cells can be used potentially to store not used green energy from renewable sources but it not a case now.

Regards

Sergey Baldytchev

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Terranova [mailto:o8terranova@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:55 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill is to costly

I personally think fuel cells, like Bloom's, should be included in your energy bill calculation. We are paying too much and it doesn't seem to stop going up.

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Fulton [mailto:ljfulton@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:06 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom Energy

Dear Mr. Delose

I am writing because of my concern for the ever increasing cost of my electric bill. I am retired and on a fixed income and I cannot afford to keep paying more for electric. I do everything I can to conserve energy. I buy energy efficient light bulbs that are more expensive to save energy and I turn off lights when I am not in a room. I unplug lamps and appliances when I am not using them. I am conserving energy but my bill keeps rising with all the increases. Please do not include Bloom Energy in the calculation as it will be an additional burden on many residents who are already struggling.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Linda Fulton

ljfulton@verizon.net

-----Original Message-----

From: ellen289@verizon.net [mailto:ellen289@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:09 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Include Bloom Energy

Hello Mr. DeLosa

I think the PSC should include Bloom Energy charge in their calculations for clean energy charge.

Thank you.

Ellen Callahan

Sent from my LG Phoenix 2, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-----Original Message-----

From: Walter Curran [mailto:WCurran@oceanviewde.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:13 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Cc: Frank Twardzik; Tom Maly; Carol Bodine; Stevenson, Kim (LegHall); bill olsen (bill_olsen1@verizon.net)

Subject: Public Service Commission Hearing-Surcharge Assessment

Dear

As a citizen of Delaware and Mayor of Ocean View, I strongly object to omission of funds paid to Bloom Energy as well as any other wind and/or solar companies from your calculations determining the level of the energy surcharge. These funds should all be included in your calculations. To do otherwise is to unfairly and I believe illegally double-charge all energy consumers. It is time to do what is right for the consumers and not the green energy lobbyists. Please include these funds and thus eliminate any need for a surcharge.

Thank you for your consideration of this very important topic.

Best regards,

Walter F. Curran
Mayor-Ocean View

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Davis [mailto:jimwilmde@msn.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:22 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Fuel cell - Bloom fees

Should be included in the green energy calculation

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy Spong [mailto:tim_spong@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:34 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: 3-percent cap on added charges for "green" electrical energy

The added charges for the Bloom Energy fuel cells SHOULD BE INCLUDED under the 3-percent cap on added charges for "green" energy.

Timothy A. "Tim" Spong
Voter and Delmarva Power ratepayer

Houston, Del., U.S.A.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hildi Bachtle [mailto:hildi.bachtle@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:41 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Fuel Cells

Please don't automatically include them in my energy bill. It should be an option. I also believe you were bamboozled by Bloom Energy. Tired of paying for it!

H. Bachtle
700 Woodsdale Rd
Wilmington, DE

-----Original Message-----

From: cabinetconnection@juno.com [mailto:cabinetconnection@juno.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:48 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Fuel cells should be included in power bills!

Sent from my iPad
Sincerely,
Carol Senerchia

-----Original Message-----

From: Bobbi Walker [mailto:Bobbi2450@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:58 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom Energy

Please do not include Bloom Energy when you make your calculation. Our bills go up and up and we receive no benefit for these charges. Why should we continue to pay these surcharges - they have already benefited a billion dollars from this deal. The deal was made because the fuel cells were supposed to be green energy. If you include Bloom along with other green sources our costs will go up and our 3 percent was met years ago. Please be fair to the consumers. Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara & Joseph F. Walker
8 White Oak Road
Rehoboth, De

-----Original Message-----

From: Harold Root [mailto:harold.r@ercoonline.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 3:03 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: The Bloom surcharge

Good afternoon Mr. DeLosa,

I just want to say that I believe that the Bloom surcharge should not be included in the calculations with all the other green energy surcharges. If my electric bill keeps going up, I will be put in a situation where I will have to choose between eating or not. I already work 12 hour days and my family and I are just keeping our heads above water now. This is not an exaggeration. We keep our electric heat at 57 degrees and we hardly run out A/C. We are doing everything possible to keep our bill down. Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Harold Root.

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Barnwell, Joan (DHSS)

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 3:18 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Demarva Power' Request

Good afternoon Mr. DeLosa,

I am a senior citizen and do not wish for my Delmarva Power electric bill to go any higher than it is now. Therefore, I am asking that you do not approve Delmarva Power's request to add fuel cells calculation to be included in my energy bill.

Thank you.

Joan Barnwell

-----Original Message-----

From: Alfred Pagano [mailto:paganoah@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 3:26 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: electric bills

Dear Joe,

Isn't it time to start including the "green" Bloom energy costs to rate payers on their bills?

This deal between Bloom and the State is certainly improves the bottom line for Bloom, but not for us.

Please consider this at the next PSC meeting.

Thanks,

Alfred Pagano
paganoah@verizon.net

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Grelak [mailto:hoskade@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:06 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Fuel charge

The fuel charge should be included in the fuel charge calculation.that is only fair and the right thing to do if you have the public interest at heart. Robert Grelak

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Stew Miller [mailto:bethnstew@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:07 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: green energy

Mr. DeLosa, I feel bloom fuel cells should be included in your energy bill calculations.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Miller [mailto:JM_UCANDO@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:16 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Energy Bill Calculations

Mr. Delosa,
PSC should include Bloom Energy and other sources such as Wind & Solar in the Calculations.
No " free rides " - we pay enough as it is Jim Miller
34232 WoodsEdge Dr . Apt 101
Lewes,DE -9958
Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeffery, Madelyn M [mailto:MJeffery@morrisjames.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:20 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Cc: Jeffery, Madelyn M
Subject: Bloom Fuel Cells
Importance: High

Fuel cells like Bloom's should NOT be included in the calculation of our energy bill. We are 70 and 80 years old on a fixed income. We cannot afford to pay More for our energy costs and there are many out there like us who cannot afford this either.
Madelyn Jeffery, 1309 Birch Lane, Wilm., DE 19809

Madelyn M. Jeffery
Paralegal

-----Original Message-----

From: Philip Puschel [mailto:puschelp@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:24 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Energy bill calculation

Bloom fuel cells are supposed to be Green energy and should be included in the surcharge calculation for green energy sources. Even better, let the politicians that passed the green energy sweet heart deals pay the costs!

Philip L Puschel
New Castle

Sent from iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Toc [mailto:toc@udel.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:25 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Fuel Cells

Sir,

Add my name to the list of people that believe fuel cells surcharges should be added to the rate calculation process. Sometimes I believe Delmarva has a blank check when it comes to rate increases. Someone has to begin looking out for the consumer. Thanks for considering my request.

Primo Toccafondi

--

Primo Toccafondi, Ed.D. 103 Isle Lane Milton, DE 19968 302-329-9219

-----Original Message-----

From: clh [mailto:clh159@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:37 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Bloom's agenda

NO to Bloom's agenda

-----Original Message-----

From: David Bonner [mailto:david@dlsk.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:48 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: My Thoughts on the Green Energy Calculation

Dear Joseph DeLosa:

I understand the PSC is considering what costs should be included in the calculation for the purchase of renewable energy. I am very much in support of renewable energy and understand some subsidies are required to make this energy source viable.

In the end, your decision will have a minimal impact on me. However, for those less fortunate, that may not be the case. From what I have read, I believe the Bloom Energy deal had costs to rate payers far exceeding any benefit to Delawareans. Not wishing to compound costs to those who are unable to afford it, and in consideration the State of Delaware's financial house will most likely need additional funding, I request you to consider including the Bloom payments as a contribution to the renewal energy calculation.

Thank you for considering this matter.

David Bonner
307 Palomino Drive
Newark, DE 19711
Ph: (302) 292-1451

-----Original Message-----

From: NEAL EVANS [mailto:necadoby@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:54 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject:

fuel cells like bloom energy should not be used to calculate our energy bills, Delmarva power gets more than what they should be getting anyhow. the way that they operate they will always make money. they are a company in business and should have to stand some of the cost

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred Sponseller [mailto:fred@fredsporch.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 5:08 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Dukes, Timothy (LegHall); Richardson, Bryant L (LegHall);
fddeo4@comcast.net

Subject: Green energy calculation

Dear Mr. Delosa,

I would like to give you my understanding of the Green Energy Fund. The Delaware Legislature and DNREC redefined the word renewable when they gave their blessing to the Bloom Energy deal. I currently pay more to subsidize qualified fuel cells (Bloom Energy) than I do for wind and solar. If qualified fuel cells are green energy (which they are not) then they must be used in the calculation for the 3% cap.

It now seems that DNREC is changing its tune. Now they want to go back to the correct definition of renewable, and not allow the cost incurred to the consumer, for qualified fuel cells, to be included in the 3% cap. The only reason for this is to allow a rate increase for Green Energy. This is the perfect example of how government loses all common sense and any semblance of fairness to their constituents.

It is my sincere hope that the Public Service Commission does not knuckle under to the pressure from DNREC and Delmarva Power, but rather stands up for the public.

Fred Sponseller
Laurel DE 19956
302 258-6983

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Boyce [mailto:barbarab@systemsapproachltd.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 5:57 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: keep energy bills low

Dear Mr. DeLosa:

I do NOT think Delmarva Power should include fuel cells, like Bloom's, in our invoices. I do not want to see future bill increases due to this. My understanding is that there was supposed to be a cap to consumers which was exceeded in 2013 and that there is no freeze in place. I also understand that the wording is not clear enough in the PSC's use of externalities in the cost of cap calculations; fuel costs are excluded in the cost cap calculations. These cost calculations should include the amount going toward Bloom energy fuel cells when calculating the total cost of REPSA compliance.

Barbara Boyce
Dr. Barbara Boyce
309 Palomino Drive

Newark, DE 19711

-----Original Message-----

From: Collins, Richard G (LegHall)
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:00 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Please include Bloom in green energy calculations

Dear members of the Public Service Commission:

Earlier this week I received a call from one of my constituents who is 87 years old and has a total income of \$1200 per month. This is an annual income of only \$14,400.

She is being forced to pay higher electric bills than necessary because of the green energy surcharge. This is morally wrong.

Delaware has far exceeded the 3% cap imposed by the General Assembly on green energy programs. I am urging you to include the Bloom surcharge in the calculation as both the letter and the spirit of the law require.

Thank you,
Rich Collins
41st District Representative

-----Original Message-----

From: Jouhn H [mailto:jwhutch1@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:32 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Including cost of Subsidy to Bloom Energy in the our electric bill calculation

Tax Payer dollars are being used to subsidize Bloom Energy on the basis that it is considered a green energy, therefore it should be considered part of the cost the we taxpayers pay over and above the normal cost of electric energy on or monthly bill to support the use of green energy. Using so called green energy is not a cost effective way to produce electric energy and therefore all costs including the taxpayer support of Bloom Energy has to be included to be fair to Delaware taxpayers who already pay too much for electric power.

Thank You
John W. Hutchison
Wilmington

-----Original Message-----

From: craigawise@aol.com [mailto:craigawise@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:07 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Include Bloom in Energy Bill Calculation!

Hi Joe,

I just want to get my two cents in, I think fuel cells, like Bloom's, should be included in the energy bill calculation. This misguided attempt to hide the rising cost of energy is a real shame and I hope you can help stop it. Please contact me if any questions. Thanks...Craig.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kamran [mailto:tavistock71@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:27 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom Energy

I am writing to request that Bloom Energy and other far out green sources should not be included in our energy bill calculations. We need to keep to the 3% limit that was established and agreed to.

Best regards, Kamran

Taunton Road, Tavistock, Wilmington.

-----Original Message-----

From: jbgingrich@comcast.net [mailto:jbgingrich@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 8:10 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Green Energy Costs

Dear Mr. Delosa:

I have received information that the Bloom Energy Fuel Cell costs were not included in the green energy costs being considered by your organization. This bogus deal with Bloom Energy has already cost us 13 percent in increased costs, and should be included in any calculation concerning the Delmarva Power green energy cap charges.

This was a bad deal from the start, and we should not have to continue paying additional 3 percent rate increases because this charge is not being included.

We need to stop the energy hikes from local power companies who are passing this 3 percent rate increase per year even while not considering the 13 percent charge already paid.

Thanks for considering my concerns.

John B. Gingrich
Milford, DE

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

-----Original Message-----

From: Herbert Maar [mailto:hafmaar@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 8:16 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculations

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

I urge you to include Bloom's Fuel Cells in the energy bill calculations.

It's just common sense.

I also would like to mention that the Delaware Government badly screwed up the whole Bloom Deal

- *First, methane used in the fuel cells is not renewable energy

- *In spite of what was claimed to be more environmental clean than burning methane, the carbon monoxide produced is the same amount in both processes.

- * The amount the rate payers are paying surpasses Bloom's costs of their employees.

- * The contract is too far in the future

Now you have a chance to partially make up for the mistakes of the past.

I ask you to do everything to include Bloom's Fuel Cells in the energy calculations.

Sincerely,

Herbert Maar

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim [mailto:royalpainting_company@reagan.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 9:07 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: PSC proposed cost cap

Joseph,

I would repeat fully request that fuel cells, like Bloom's, should be included in your energy bill calculations for the coming years cost cap.

Jim Cayer Wilmington DE

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Ellen Saunders [mailto:me_saunders@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 11:19 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

My husband and I support the proposed regulation, with added clarifying language, to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Mary Ellen Saunders and
James R. Saunders

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry Heckman [mailto:tgh41168@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:45 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

no more increases we pay enough now. I pay \$550 a month for insulin and more electric on top of that plus my other bill will break me and I will have to sell my home to just servive its not fair to us older people that worked all our lives and now get a slap in the face because we paid all our bills on time , I worked for Delmarva Power for 30 yrs and what did they do for me they took my insurance away and my drug insurance. They don't need any more money.

-----Original Message-----

From: BRUCE CUPERY [mailto:brucecupery@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:44 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Energy costs for Delmarva Powr customers .

Please don't make a determination tomorrow that will increase my energy costs ! We are already paying enough for so called Green Energy , like Bloom , and living on a fixed income I can't afford to throw more of my dollars down that rat hole . Keep our energy costs as they are now with No Increases . Senior citizens , such as myself , simply can't afford more increases .

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom [mailto:blueeyedcrab@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:49 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: My costs

Please I can't afford these outlandish costs. We don't need any more. Between the democrat faders and the greedy school boards I'm going broke.
Tom Koval

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Josephine DiGiovanni [mailto:josephine.digiovanni@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 1:48 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Mr. Delosa,

I really wish government agencies would take into account that people are not here to support their whims.

If Delmarva thinks green energy is a great investment, let them invest their own funds and not mine.

I am the sole breadwinner in my family. I have a son who just graduated college and another still in college. My husband is unemployed and not receiving any government benefits.

I just recovered from a serious illness and went back to work early, to provide for my family. This is out of necessity.

Stop acting like people are an endless resource of money for corporate ideas.

I'm seriously thinking of moving out of Delaware. My elderly parents are upset, because I am the only person to care for them. I have to lookout for my own family.

If the recent presidential election didn't make it clear that people are fed up with government overstepping their welcome, I'm telling you, people are tired. They aren't going to take much more of this nonsense.

Josephine DiGiovanni

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Manship [mailto:billmanship@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 4:19 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Additional surcharges - Delmarva Power

As simply as I can put it, my wife and I are on a fixed income and I am disabled. I worked almost 50 years, including 5 years of military service & my wife worked 45 years. At no time in spite of my physical issues have we asked for any help. We have paid everything as they came due and even with that we have not been able to accumulate any sizeable savings. We have been able to own our small, but comfortable home & pay our bills as they come due. With the State of Delaware in seemingly dire straits for funds to try and balance their budget which I can only summarize we, who can ill afford it, will be asked to pay more for services. How in the world can we support a request

from Delmarva Power to include additional funds in a calculation to be paid to Bloom Energy.
NO, ABSOLUTELY NO!!!!

We have no children, however we have paid City/County/State taxes + what it costs us just to maintain. WHEN do we get a break for paying everything on time and maintaining our home. We have nothing left to give. NOTHING!!!!!!! Ask Delmarva what they are going to do when we stop paying after 50 years of payments on time, every time. Are they going to put us on a payment plan + our monthly bill? I will not live long enough to see this "green energy" crap come to fruition. Stop it, please!

Bill Manship
121 E. Liberty St.
Harrington, DE 19952
Home: 1.302.398.8303
Cell: 1.302.242.4677
FAX: 1.302.398.8303

-----Original Message-----

From: Garrett Stepsis [mailto:gstepsis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:03 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Fw: Act Now to Stop Energy Bill Increases

Dear Mr. Delosa,

I would like to see energy bill increases stopped. We pay much higher for electricity than people I know in PA with similar use and square footage.

We should not subsidize "green energy". That is an expensive gimmick. If it really were a better source of energy, the market would recognize it as such. It would not be dependent upon government handouts.

We are not and never were very serious about protecting the environment in this country. The most obvious no brainer is immigration. Over 90% of our population explosion since 1965 was from immigrants and their descendants. We only have a limited amount of landfills for all the waste these 100 million extra people produce. The vast majority of these immigrants are low skill and many of their jobs will soon go away due to automation.

If we were serious about the environment, we would never have built the giant welfare system which brings millions of dysfunctional people into the world. More people = more strain on the environment. With welfare, you get that extra strain on the environment with folks who tragically are often destined to be on the wrong side of the balance sheet (consumers of resources vs revenue for government and engines of economic growth for society).

Finally, if we were really serious about the environment, we would de-incentify divorce. Breaking people up leads to significantly less economically efficient and less environmentally sound living conditions.

We never hear any of these topics brought up when we discuss protecting the environment. Therefore, the obvious conclusion is that we are not serious about protecting the environment and therefore should not pretend to be serious by subsidizing "green energy".

Best regards.

Garrett

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilmington Delaware [mailto:jm3de7736@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:53 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: FLORIDA DECISION SOON

When will government, industry CUT THEIR EXPENSES??

WE THE PEOPLE

are NOT getting pay raises to keep up with obscene increases in utilities

STOP

Time for every entity to reign in cost, downsize, layoff

My wife and I are part of a large group of 60 yr olds who are FED UP
with Delaware

with politics

with corruption

NO MONEY LEFT, time to sell and move

in my community alone, 3 homeowners 60-65 have moved to Florida in the last 12 months

THINK PEOPLE

Freebees and entitlements better stop, or your all facing a crisis

Wake up

Verizon, Utilities,

School taxes alone, up \$230 each year for the next 2 years === 2018 \$690 more than 2015.

wow, obscene school tax increase

TEACHERS UNION GOT A NICE RAISE FOR EVERY TEACHER

kids in schools got nothing

My pension check did NOT increase

Medicare too the social security increase, thats a wash

WHERE ARE WE SUPPOSE TO FIND MONEY

-----\

any you wonder why Democrats voted for Trump

THINK

-----Original Message-----

From: Louis LaSorte [mailto:louislasorte@msn.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:37 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom Tax Grab

Mr. Delosa,

I do not want another penny of my already penny-pinched household budget going toward green energy funding including but not limited to Bloom's so called 'green' energy cell program. How much more of our hard earned money is the PSC willing to steal from our cash strapped households. This does NOTHING to help make America great again! This is ridiculous!

Sincerely,

Louis LaSorte

-----Original Message-----

From: Carolyn Marcello [mailto:cdm24@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 8:19 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject:

Dear Sir,

Please stop this increase in our energy bills. I live on an income that does not increase so please consider the older generation and our financial need.
Thank you and may God bless you.

Carolyn Marcello

-----Original Message-----

From: J P RUSKY [mailto:2011japr@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 9:03 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Enough!

We are paying enough and have met the 3% surcharge for green eneegy. It is time to stop makig the consumers pay for something that now exceeds amount needed to fulfill the green energy obligation.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lowell Underhill [mailto:lowell.underhill@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:25 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation

Please do not include fuel cells, like Bloom's, in our energy bill calculation.

Respectfully,

Lowell T. Underhill

-----Original Message-----

From: Gene Castellano [mailto:enicast@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 12:16 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Please change the method of calculating energy costs to include the exorbitant cost of Bloom Energy's fuel cells. Bloom is a failed experiment and its true cost needs to become more transparent. Delaware residents have enough to be concerned with given the Governors proposed tax increases.

Make Bloom's costs more visible and then address how to curtail its operations and be replaced by natural gas.

Gene Castellano
Wilmington

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Defibaugh [mailto:tdefibaugh2@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 5:58 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Cc: Hocker, Gerald (LegHall); Gray, Ronald (LegHall)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Defibaugh
844 Glen Drive
Bethany Beach DE 19930

-----Original Message-----

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 7:37 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: PSC Calculations

The PSC should include Bloom and all Green sources in your calculations. There is still plenty of money going for green sources even if the cap is met.

Henry Clark
Rehoboth Beach

-----Original Message-----

From: Deborah Bricker [mailto:deborah.bricker2@verizon.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 8:45 AM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: 3 Percent surcharge calculation

Dear Mr. DeLosa,
I am writing to voice my opinion on the 3 percent surcharge on energy services.
I do not agree with DNREC's position not to include Bloom Energy in the calculation for maxing out the surcharge. I firmly believe that Bloom Energy should be included in the calculation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Bricker
1901 Harvey Road
Wilmington, De 19810

-----Original Message-----

From: Herbert Maar [mailto:hafmaar@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 9:00 AM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

This is a follow-up to my e-mail from yesterday;

- Burning methane or using it in fuel cell produces carbon dioxide , not carbon monoxide (a typo)
- Bloom never accomplished the number of promised employees (where are the consequences?)
- Delaware has one of the highest electricity rates in the country. Not a real incentive for attracting new companies.
- PJM is about to decide the construction of a new powerline from the nuclear power plant in Salem to a Red Lion substation.

The majority of the costs will have to be paid by Delaware customers, although the benefit to them is only a fraction of it.

This will saddle the rate payers with extra costs for the next 40 years, beginning in 2020

- All these reasons should be enough to convince you to include Bloom's Fuel Cells in the energy calculations.

Sincerely,

Herbert Maar

-----Original Message-----

From: Noel Wagner [mailto:wagnerjn@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 9:06 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Green energy calculation

Sir,

I understand the PSC is meeting to determine what should be included in calculating the surcharge for green energy sources. I find it very disturbing that Delaware has the nerve to just say that natural gas is a 'green energy' and therefore what is paid to Bloom Energy is not figured in the calculation. It is even more disturbing how the public gets hoodwinked by the very Commission you think is supposed to look out for them in these situations. I ask that the PSC include the fuel cells in the calculation and realize the 3% ceiling was long ago reached. Please stop overloading the public with charges that they do not deserve and should not be paying.

Sincerely,

Noel Wagner

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Daugherty [mailto:ksdaugherty@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 10:21 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Mr. Delosa,

I am requesting that you represent my interest in keeping my ever increasing electric bill down by including Bloom energy cells in the calculations for green energy. 3% was supposed to be the cap and they are now at 13%! No wonder my electric bill goes up even as we reduce our use. Please do this fair thing to help our budget at home. Sincerely, Kim Daugherty

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: John Lingo [mailto:johnlingo@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 10:54 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation

Please do not compound the effects of past bad choices by making another bad choice. The Bloom energy fuel cell costs should be included in the cost cap calculation.

We, the public, need more examples leading to increased trust in our elected officials and public servants. Excluding the Bloom energy fuel cell costs would have the opposite effect, further undermining that trust.

John Lingo

302-381-4273

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Rigby [mailto:pjrigby@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 11:01 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom Energy

The cost of paying Bloom must be made part of any cost calculation in regard to electric rate increases.

It is bad enough that we are stuck with paying for this fossil fuel farce parading as renewable energy foisted on the public by the progressive political agenda of the Democrats.

Pete Rigby

-----Original Message-----

From: John Ryan [mailto:jackr6510@mac.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 11:24 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Cc: Ryan John

Subject: Electric Bills

I have just receive a message from the Republican Caucus of the Delaware State Senate. I am advised to write to you about how you calculate the surcharge on our bills.

Frankly, I don't understand all of the issue but my elected Representative has studied this matter and says that the fuel cells such as Bloom's should be included.

Please listen to the views expressed by the Republican Caucus of the Delaware State Senate.

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Fulton [mailto:ljfulton@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 5:32 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Fwd: Bloom Energy

Dear Mr. Delose

I'm afraid I was confused and asked you not to include Bloom Energy in the calculation. I am asking you to please include Bloom Energy in the calculation. I just can't afford to keep paying more when I am using less to reduce my cost of energy. I would truly appreciate your support. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Linda Fulton
ljfulton@verizon.net

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Fulton <ljfulton@verizon.net>
To: joseph.delosa <joseph.delosa@state.de.us>
Sent: Wed, Apr 5, 2017 2:05 pm
Subject: Bloom Energy
Dear Mr. Delose

I am writing because of my concern for the ever increasing cost of my electric bill. I am retired and on a fixed income and I cannot afford to keep paying more for electric. I do everything I can to conserve energy. I buy energy efficient light bulbs that are more expensive to save energy and I turn off lights when I am not in a room. I unplug lamps and appliances when I am not using them. I am conserving energy but my bill keeps rising with all the increases. Please do not include Bloom Energy in the calculation as it will be an additional burden on many residents who are already struggling.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Linda Fulton
ljfulton@verizon.net

-----Original Message-----

From: Charles Weidner [<mailto:cjweidner88@comcast.net>]
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 8:58 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket #56

Mr. Delosa,

I support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Best regards,
Charles J Weidner

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: sally heson [mailto:kwrenfitz@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 10:31 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: Bernard Gilmore [mailto:script2you@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 12:18 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bills calc

Senator--

I urge you not to include the Bloom green energy calculation in the Delmarva Power increase.

BJ Gilmore
Lewes, DE

-----Original Message-----

From: LINDA [mailto:linda_k_appleby@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 7:06 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Dear Sir,

I have recently become aware that you are determining how much to increase energy bills and what should be included in the increase.

I request that no increase be made. Already, I am being billed for and paying far too much for things that are not related to my family's energy consumption.

Sincerely,
Linda Appleby

-----Original Message-----

From: Jane Pedroso [mailto:precise.ed@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 8:07 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: fuel calculations

Dear Joseph,

I am writing as a retired person on a fixed income. I encourage you to do whatever you can to minimize the impact of calculations on our Delmarva. Our rates and surcharges have been rising exponentially, and we as consumers have no control over it. Please help!

Jane E. Pedroso

-----Original Message-----

From: renbenn [mailto:renbenn@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 10:59 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

No more increases! No more "green" energy.

Renée Bennett

Republican DE resident

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S® 5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve [mailto:chaplainsteve@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 1:01 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: No more increases

Please stop increasing the percentage or the way the percentage is calculated for my electric bill. Enough with shoving the green energy costs down our throat by taxing. Thanks for considering.
Steve Anderson

From: John Meadows [mailto:jrmeadows@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 5:49 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

I WAS UPSET OVER THE 3%, WITH IT GOING TO 13% YOU ARE GETTING OUT OF HAND, ROLL IT BACK IF YOU HAVE TO CHARGE.

JOHN MEADOWS

-----Original Message-----

From: Ann Hobbs [mailto:hobbsnoon@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 6:11 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Hi there,

I SUPPORT GREEN ENERGY.

THE MORE THE BETTER.

I have no problem paying 3 percent, 10 percent, or even 15 percent, to enable my energy sources to be more and more from low-carbon, low-pollution (zero carbon and zero pollution are best) sources.

Thanks,

Ann Hobbs, mom of Sophie, Eddie and Tori
Frankford, DE

-----Original Message-----

From: Steven Blanchard [mailto:sblancha@verizon.net]

Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 1:07 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: My energy bill.

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

My energy bills are high enough!

Please include fuel cells, like Bloom's, in your energy bill calculation

It is time for the free market, absent of government interference, biased regulation, and crony capitalism, to fairly and competitively price goods and services including and especially energy.

Thank you.

Steven Blanchard

443 Upper Pike Creek Road

Newark, DE 19711

-----Original Message-----

From: Em Suter [mailto:baynest15@comcast.net]

Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 2:42 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Bloom cells

Offended to pay this charge. Vote to END

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: rmbillmurphy@verizon.net [mailto:rmbillmurphy@verizon.net]

Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 4:06 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Bill Calculation Regulation Docket No. 56

Price is to high now.. Cut down on all the white hats standing around talking or sitting in their trucks ! William Murphy 302-537-0560

-----Original Message-----

From: outlook_23B3ABA42053A76E@outlook.com

[mailto:outlook_23B3ABA42053A76E@outlook.com] On Behalf Of

outlook_23B3ABA42053A76E@outlook.com

Sent: Saturday, April 08, 2017 4:58 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: DP&L rate hike

Delmarva Power is already too high! They do they not deserve a rate hike.

You should also discontinue the Bloom Energy rip off plus return the money we have paid to them. They did not meet their mandated goals so all money should be forfeited.

We already pay 23 – 26% MORE than what Delaware Co-Op customers pay. Plus they get an annual banquet for free! Plus door prizes at their banquet! Plus rebate checks!Plus you just gave them approval to LOWER their rates!

The Public Service Commission should not even be entertaining their request. You should be investigating the Bloom Energy scandal including former Governor Jack “bike trail” Markel.

Brian Howard
4883 Woodpecker Road
Seaford, De 19973

-----Original Message-----

From: Al Cody [mailto:al_alcod@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 09, 2017 12:23 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: No to Bloom

No surcharge to pay for Bloom folly,

al_alcod@yahoo.com

-----Original Message-----

From: William Whipple III [mailto:wwhipple3@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 4:03 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

I am a Delaware resident and long-time Delmarva Power customer. My comments on the proposed REPSA regulations are as follows:

1. Calculation of the 3% cost cap should be adjusted to include Qualified Fuel Cell Provider charges in the numerator and use supply charges (rather than supply charges + electric delivery charges) as the denominator. For other necessary corrections, see the extensive comments of the Division of Public Advocate.
2. In addition to belatedly issuing these regulations, Delaware regulators should order a pause in the phase-in of the Renewal Portfolio Standards until such time as it appears that the statutory

cost cap will not be exceeded on a going forward basis. This action should have been taken already, and any further delay would be unconscionable.

3. I would urge approval of the request by John A. Nichols for intervenor status. Mr. Nichols' zealous and well-informed advocacy of rational energy policies in Delaware has been much appreciated by members of Secure America's Future Economy (see our website for details) and I believe many other Delawareans as well.

William Whipple III

-----Original Message-----

From: Clinton Laird [mailto:clslaird@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 10:30 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No 56

Dear Mr. DeLosa:

I write to encourage you to grant John Nichols intervenor status in the above matter.

I endorse Mr. Nichols' formal testimony.

Respectfully,

Clint Laird

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Walrath [mailto:flash19968@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 11:10 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Cost Increase Bill

I do not think fuel cells, like Bloom's, should be included in your energy bill calculation. Please take this into consideration. I live week to week and I could stand to keep as much of my hard earned money as possible.

Chris Walrath
317 S Spinnaker Lane
Milton, DE 19968

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda M. Hopkins [mailto:lindamhappy01@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 7:29 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Over-Priced Power Service

I have been a mostly life-long consumer at DelMarva and have many years struggled to pay my power bills. Sometimes as much as \$500 in a month and I only lived in a 3 bedroom single-wide mobile home. As a 68 year old senior, when I left my home I owed \$2400 on my last bill. Even now I have no way to pay the balance off, the cost of a decent used car. I have for years ridden my bicycle because I couldn't afford a car. I tried to get help from the state to properly winterize my home but because it was a mobile home, I didn't qualify. Something needs to be done about the high rates charged especially as it impacts low- or moderate-income families.

Thank you.

Linda M. Hopkins

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe Oddo [mailto:oddojj@comcast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 10:57 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Joseph Oddo

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: STEVEN [mailto:rusto2@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 8:46 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Phoebe Cottingham [mailto:feebster@icloud.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 12:32 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Cc: Phoebe Cottingham

Subject: Regulation Docket No. 56

We support the proposed regulation, but please add clarifying language to ensure that the net cost of Renewable Energy Credits from a Qualified Fuel Cell Provider shall be included in the RPS Cost Cap Calculation. Thank you for consideration.

From:
Phoebe H Cottingham
23793 Samuel Adams Circle
Millsboro DE 19966
302-945-2227

-----Original Message-----

From: aeq@comcast.net [mailto:aeq@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 2:13 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Sir/Madam;

I DO NOT think the cost of Bloom Energy fuel cells should be added to my electric bill. DelMarVa already gets to be a monopoly in DE and charge us higher prices with little or no concern about customers going to their competition. Please vote against these unwanted added costs to my electric bill.

Thank you for your time.

Andrew E. Quesenberry

A. E. Quesenberry Carpentry, LLC
1012 Stanton Road
Wilmington, DE 19808

302-994-0700
302-753-8611

AEQcarpentry.com

-----Original Message-----

From: John Lingo [mailto:johnlingo@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 2:37 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Electric Bill Cap Exceeded

So, here's how this should go....

Determine how much the rate has exceeded the 3% cap in the time since it was mandated by the legislature and REFUND that amount WITH INTEREST to the Delmarva customers victimized by this sorry excuse for governance.

John Lingo
302-381-4273

-----Original Message-----

From: Alfred Pagano [mailto:paganoah@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:03 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Mr. Delosa,
I write to you to consider scrapping the proposed regulation, and having a new one written that includes the cost of fuel cell energy on our electric bills. The 3% cap on electric bills has gone up over the past years, and under the present policy will never go down, just up. Please consider including the cost that Bloom energy is costing us by putting this number on the electric bills so its in plain sight for all to see. We want clean energy, but the legislature in their wisdom is making it difficult to accept the cost.

Thank you,

Alfred Pagano
paganoah@verizon.net
Newark, DE. 19713

-----Original Message-----

From: Don Taber [mailto:rover1638@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:15 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Electric bills

It's no surprise to me that the PSC and DNREC are both occupied by incompetent/incapable people that have let the “renewable energy cap” expense go ballistic. Their long-term history suggests that this is the way they do business, and the clearly don't give a sh** what it's costing consumers. I just calculated the % that I'm now paying for “renewable” energy:
14.6%!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

FIX IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Don Taber
Wilmington, 19810

-----Original Message-----

From: PraiseGod13 [mailto:PraiseGod13@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:18 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Cc: 'Steve'; Smyk, Steve (LegHall); Lopez, Ernesto B (LegHall); Schwartzkopf, Peter (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation%E2%80%9D.

Importance: High

Dear Mr. DeLosa and Ms. Stevenson:

Mrs. Fischer and I are growing tired of seeing significant increases in our Delmarva Power monthly electric bill related to Delaware state government-imposed renewable energy supplier fees.

The Bloom Energy fuel cell surcharge is just the latest scam being forced onto Delmarva Electric customers by the Delaware Public Service Commission and other unelected state government bureaucracies.

If this weren't being caused by Delaware state government employees, I personally believe it would be considered fraud by the courts.

For sure it is crony capitalism at its worst. If renewable energy is going to be used by Delaware consumers, it should be their choice based on their own cost/benefit analysis.

THESE OUTRAGEOUS ADDITIONAL ELECTRIC BILL FEES SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED ON CITIZENS BY UNELECTED STATE GOVERNMENT BUREAUCRATS.

PLEASE STOP THIS ABUSE OF POWER BY UNELECTED STATE GOVERNMENT BUREAUCRATS.

PS – We're pretty sure Ms. Stevenson agrees with the general content of this email, although we have not talked to her about it. Further, we'd be interested in Mr. DeLosa's views, if he cares to respond, as well as Ms. Stevenson's.

Sincerely, Mr. (and Mrs.) Robert E. Fischer, 31824 Carneros Ave, Lewes, DE (mobile/texts: 703-850-1637)

-----Original Message-----

From: Carolyn Marcello [mailto:cdm24@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:34 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

As a senior of 79 years I am requesting that the electric bill not increase. I am on a fixed income. Please consider the citizens of Delaware that cannot pay any higher electric bill. Thank you,

Ms. Carolyn Marcello

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Yemc [mailto:bernardyemc@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:57 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

Our electric bills should not go up so much. If it is too expensive to buy green energy, then don't. Some of this green energy is just a way to make us pay more.

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy Spong [mailto:tim_spong@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:02 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

The state legislature mandated that Delmarva Power buy some of its power from renewable sources (e.g., wind, solar, fuel cells). The legislature in the same mandate said that there should be a cap put on how much our electricity bills could go up because the electricity-supplying company has to buy the more-expensive renewable energy. They set that amount at 3 percent. That 3 percent was exceeded nearly seven years ago, but the cap was never put in place. Some electricity bills have gone up as much as 9 and 12 percent.

The PSC was supposed to define how that cap was to be reached, but didn't get around to it, and instead, told DNREC to figure it out. DNREC decided to keep subtracting things -- not from our bills, but from what could be counted -- every time the bills started creeping up. For example, they'd say, "Oh, no, we don't want to count the money that added to the electric(ity) bill for Bloom Energy when we calculate the cost cap, so, we'll take that out." Or they might say, "Hmm, people probably wouldn't get colds as often if we used more renewable energy, so, let's subtract the cost of people's health from the final" Under this logic, the cap will NEVER be met.

Delaware Superior Court said, "Hey, PSC, you were supposed to write these regs. No more passing the buck to DNREC. Get on it." So, the PSC staff went to work. The regulation the staff is

proposing doesn't count Bloom Energy as a renewable source of energy, even though, that's how Bloom got on our electricity bills to begin with.

("Electric" means "powered by electricity." A bill for electricity is not an "electric" bill because the bill is not powered by electricity. A bill for electricity is an "electricity" bill.)

PSC, stay on the present course. Do not count Bloom Energy's natural-gas-burning fuel cells as renewable sources of energy. Do not subtract the speculative costs of health expenditures possibly foregone, and the like, in calculating whether the cost cap has been reached.

Timothy A. Spong
Houston, Del., U.S.A.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Davis [mailto:jimwilmde@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:03 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

Bloom costs should be included in the 3 % cap along with a provision requiring reimbursement of everything paid in excess of the cap. Do the job you have sat on for years. No excuse. PS - Bloom has been a disaster from the start. Let's cut it off and forget Delaware's great blunder. Just accept Bloom took us and get on without more costs supporting them. Respectfully, Jim Davis

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Rigby [mailto:pjrigby@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:40 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Cc: Lavelle, Greg (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

There is no logical way that the cost of Bloom Fuel cells should not be included in the renewable energy calculation. Remember that the natural gas that is used was described as a renewable fuel. Huh? Who dreamed that up?

The people and businesses of Delaware are getting ripped off bad enough by the Bloom energy fiasco, which they have never lived up to, while the same politicians that approved the deal simply stand by sucking their thumbs and do nothing.

Do not double down on a bad deal...count the Bloom Cell costs into the renewable energy cap calculation and then start refunding our money for every year it was over 3%.

It is no wonder that Delaware is ranked so poorly in GDP growth..... what manufacturing business wants to locate here with the exorbitant electric rates? All the big manufacturing guys have gone or are going.

Peter J. Rigby
302 Spalding Road
Wilmington, DE 19803
302-652-7847

-----Original Message-----

From: Bunting, Cindy (Courts)
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:42 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)
Subject: Delmarva Power

Good Afternoon;

Who is looking out for us? We should have a voice in our electric bill. That 3-percent was exceeded nearly seven years ago, but the cap was never put in place. We don't have a choice who we use for our electric so the bill just keeps creeping up due to not having a say and not having the cap in place. Please help the individuals who are using Delmarva Power. Our paychecks are not keeping up with the bills.

Cindy

Cindy E. Bunting
Judicial Case Manager
Register in Chancery
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947
(302)856-5777

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Tracy [mailto:pegtracy123@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 5:23 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Cc: paton124@verizon.net
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

As I've said to multiple state representatives, I am tired of these constant increases from Delmarva! I've spoken to the Public Advocate about it as well. Why do you keep allowing this Company to increase their prices? People cannot afford it! It has to stop! Government at every

level is killing the American family with all these outrageous costs – all the while getting no raise in their pay!

Margaret C. Tracy (“Peggy”)
3309 Englewood Road
Wilmington, DE 19810-3323
Phone: 302-229-3330
Email: pegtracy123@aol.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Susanne Messick [mailto:littlehuntboy@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 5:35 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

First, although I am not a Delmarva Power customer friends of mine are. I am fortunate enough to be a DEC customer. My electric bills are fair. Delaware Electric Cooperative works hard to keep costs inline. Their employees are paid a fair, competitive salary with good benefits. I know this as a relative works for DEC. If the coop can do this (and they too use renewable green energy) the Public Service Commission needs to use their knowledge and expertise to set the cap and give fair prices to the customers.

The bill an average Delmarva Power customer has to pay and the amount a DEC customer pays for a similar amount of usage has great disparity. The greatly higher bill is of course is Delmarva Power’s bill.

The high bills make hardships for many people. Please do what you can to right this problem.

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Gerber [mailto:peggygerber007@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 6:22 PM
To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)
Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Bloom Energy has not met its obligation to the state of DE. The state gets money and the consumer continues to pay for a bad decision. 21 years without any relief and now dnrec doesn't want to include Bloom charges in calculating the electricity cap. Can you remember when the Bloom surcharge was 75 cents Its now \$5.25 per month this sounds like expensive energy just so Bloom can still rip off the public. John Gerber

-----Original Message-----

From: dickfox@verizon.net [mailto:dickfox@verizon.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 7:53 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Please stop with the Bloom surcharge.

Sent from my Verizon LG Smartphone

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred Sponseller [mailto:afvets@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 8:07 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Mr. Delosa,

Te Bloom Energy surcharge that all Delmarva Power customers pay must be included in any rate cap calculations. It was wrong when imposed as it is not renewable energy. However that is how the deal was made.

The other alternative is to eliminate the Bloom Energy surcharge (since the company defaulted on the agreement). Then you do not have to count it toward the cap.

Let common sense prevail for once!!

Fred Sponseller

Artist, Author

-----Original Message-----

From: J P RUSKY [mailto:2011japr@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 8:36 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Mr. Delosa,

I do not like the way this regulation is going, the cost of the Bloom Fuel Cells should be added into the bill. The 3% for renewable energy has been exceeded nearly seven years ago, a cap should be in place. The PSC should be held liable for taking advantage of the consume and you should be protecting us as the consumer.

Thank you,

J A Rudsky

-----Original Message-----

From: Mascarenhas, Selvam J [mailto:SMascarenhas@Christianacare.org]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 3:47 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

To help reach the cap, bloom energy should be included in the bill.

Get Outlook for Android

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom [mailto:blueeyedcrab@aol.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 7:12 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

Why are you forcing seniors into poverty?

I guess it is because you are an elitist! Don't raise our electric rates.

I do notice how the renewable cabal rides around in their BMWs and Mercedes. The rich can afford to be for renewables.

Tom Koval

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: ROBERT JOHNSON [mailto:bobbeej@msn.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 8:56 AM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

Get Outlook for Android

I would like to see the Bloom Energy surcharge added to the bill, even though it's not a renewable resource. Delmarva packaged it that way, so now they should be held accountable. When your going to finally enforce this 3% cap let's do it right and include Bloom Energy.

Thank you

-----Original Message-----

From: Lavelle, Greg (LegHall)

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 10:18 AM

To: Peter Rigby; DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall); Garvin, Shawn M. (DNREC);

Coats, Kara S. (DNREC); Greg Lavelle; Gary Simpson; Burris, David (LegHall)

Subject: Re: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

Pete,

Putting Bloom in the RPS is one reason that many people voted for it. This is a perfect example of why people don't trust much of anything we do. The RPS was a lure to get votes and now it is being pulled out. The Bloom deal was bad and dishonest deal on so many levels, and this simply adds serious insult to that injury. Once Bloom is pulled out of the RPS, it will "prove" to those who use fake math that the RPS isn't being met and needs to be increased.

Hard to believe and totally believe-able at the same time.

Thank you,

Greg

From: Peter Rigby <prigby@verizon.net>

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:40:14 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Cc: Lavelle, Greg (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation".

There is no logical way that the cost of Bloom Fuel cells should not be included in the renewable energy calculation. Remember that the natural gas that is used was described as a renewable fuel. Huh? Who dreamed that up?

The people and businesses of Delaware are getting ripped off bad enough by the Bloom energy fiasco, which they have never lived up to, while the same politicians that approved the deal simply stand by sucking their thumbs and do nothing.

Do not double down on a bad deal...count the Bloom Cell costs into the renewable energy cap calculation and then start refunding our money for every year it was over 3%.

It is no wonder that Delaware is ranked so poorly in GDP growth..... what manufacturing business wants to locate here with the exorbitant electric rates? All the big manufacturing guys have gone or are going.

Peter J. Rigby
302 Spalding Road
Wilmington, DE 19803

302-652-7847

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Boyce [mailto:barbarab@systemsapproachltd.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 4:50 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Dear Mr. DeLosa:

I am emailing you to voice my opinion that you should reject the current proposed regulation that affects my energy bill. I think your staff should write new regulations that include Qualified Fuel Cell Providers, such as Bloom Energy, in those calculations. Also, the 3% cap that was passed years ago needs to be enforced, not passed onto DNREC to decide what to include/exclude. Thank you for your consideration.

Dr. Barbara Boyce
309 Palomino Drive
Newark, DE 19711

-----Original Message-----

From: Betty Crossan [mailto:ehcrossan@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2017 5:33 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS)

Subject: Energy Cost regulations

Dear Mr. DeLosa,

I am writing to request that the regulations concerning renewable energy costs include Qualified Fuel Cell Providers in the calculation.

Please do all you can to make sure that competition is considered to give Delawareans energy bills as affordable as possible while still conserving our environment as well as being fiscally responsible.

Thank you very much,
Elizabeth Crossan
Seaford DE

-----Original Message-----

From: Bobbi Walker [mailto:Bobbi2450@comcast.net]

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 11:31 PM

To: DeLosa, Joseph (DOS); Stevenson, Kim (LegHall)

Subject: Docket No. 56, In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules and Procedures to Implement the Renewable Portfolio Standards, Cost Cap Calculation”.

Enough is enough! If we are paying for Bloom Energy, then any money made by Bloom should be paid back to us in the form of credits to our monthly electric bills. Stop using our money to build multi-million dollars companies. Let Bloom sell bonds for capital. I'm sick of seeing you let our electric bills rise every time a supplier comes begging. This piggy bank is about broke! Barbara Walker

Sent from my iPad